

**Evergreen Governance Committee**  
**January 18, 2011**  
**1:00 p.m.**  
**Conference Call**

Amy Terlaga, Bibliomation  
Andrea Buntz Neiman, Kent County  
Sharon Herbert, SITKA  
Dan Scott, Laurentian University  
Elizabeth McKinney, Georgia Public Library Service  
Galen Charlton, Equinox Software  
Ian Walls, Bywater Solutions  
Jim Corridan, Indiana State Library  
Lori Ayre, Galecia Group  
Michele Montague, Grand Rapids Public Library  
Stephen F. Elfstrand, Minnesota PALS  
Steve Wills, Lyasis  
Bradley Kuhn, Software Freedom Conservancy

**Conference**

Call for presentation proposals extended; registration site coming soon.

**Reports Task Force**

(recounted by Amy via email):

At our last meeting we discussed the importance of having reports representation at the Evergreen conference in April. Ben Shum and Jeff Godin have submitted a presentation on the automation of reports. Katherine Gregory from PINES suggested that she could submit a presentation proposal on collection maintenance reports. Also, there will be a reports interest group meeting sometime during the conference, either in a time slot that competes with the other presentations or during the hackfest. Amy will take this to the conference committee.

**Communications**

Developing user scenarios. Asking for feedback.

**Governance**

Bradley summarized the role of the Software Freedom Conservancy (SFC), including the expectations around the fiscal sponsorship agreement.

Elizabeth asked if the vendors/service providers felt well-represented by the current draft of the fiscal sponsorship agreement – there were no objections

Bradley clarified that from the SFC's standpoint open source projects are run by individuals. From that perspective, the Evergreen Foundation would be a group of individuals, not necessarily representatives of larger agencies.

The percentage of monetary donation is voluntarily set by the project. It can be changed via agreement negotiation. Percentage is taken from gross revenue.

Question was raised about pooling development money - 10% would be a steep charge.

Bradley: Large amounts of development money creates a large administrative overhead for the Conservancy.

No pass-through donations. All development efforts funded through the conservancy would be managed by the conservancy. Conservancy would be another option to get this sort of development done.

These are common concerns for projects joining the SFC. Projects who avail themselves of that service are often set at 5%.

Lori moved to set the percentage donation to the SFC to 5%. This was seconded by Galen and was passed unanimously.

Jim Corridan – suggested that Elizabeth be the liaison.

Liaison – meant to be the “single voice” of the project oversight board. The person must have approval of the full oversight board.

Dan volunteered his assistance to Elizabeth in being the liaison.

Lori moved that Elizabeth McKinney be the liaison and that Dan Scott be the alternate.

The committee discussed the individual members’ names listed in the sponsorship agreement. There was general consensus that the names of people who have not participated in Evergreen governance conference calls or email list discussions should be removed.

Next meeting: Tuesday, February 15, 2011